

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

09/903,954 07/12/01 GARST

M 17095CIPCON (

EXAMINER

HM12/0829

ALLERGAN, INC.
CARLOS A. FISHER-T2-7H
2525 DUPONT DRIVE
IRVINE CA 92612

FAY, Z

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1614

DATE MAILED:

08/29/01

3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/903,954	Applicant(s) Garst
Examiner First Last	Art Unit 1234



-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7 and 14-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-7 and 14-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

a) All b) Some* c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

- 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

- 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 1 20) Other: _____

Art Unit:

Claims 1-7 and 14-27 are presented for examination.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Applicant's admission and Drug Therapy by Searle.

Applicant on pages 2-7 of specification admits that both prostaglandins and alpha-adrenergic agents have been previously used for the treatment of glaucoma. Drug Therapy By Searle also teaches the use of the claimed components individually for the treatment of glaucoma. The above references differ from the claimed invention in the presence of the claimed components in combination in a pharmaceutical formulation or an article of manufacture. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since motivation to combine the ingredients flows logically for having been used individually for the same purpose. See In re Kerkhoven 205 USPQ 1069. Absence of showing unexpected results, the claims are rendered prima facie obvious over the admitted art and the article by Searle.

Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over Yavitz and Woodward.

Art Unit:

Yavitz teaches the use of the claimed alpha-adrenergic, bimonidine having neuroprotective effect during ophthalmic surgery. See the entire article. Woodward teaches the neuroprotective effect of prostaglandins in ophthalmic field. See the entire document.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since they in combination relate to the use of the individual components as neuroprotective agents in ophthalmic field. To combine ingredients with the same character is merely the additive effect of each individual components. See In re Kerkhoven, 205 USPQ 1069. Absence of showing of unexpected results the claims are rendered prima facie over the prior art.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Fay whose telephone number is (703) 308-4604.

ZOHREH FAY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200

